

Atlantic, GA 30303-1736

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIDMATIONING			
ATTECATION NO.	FILING DATE	PIKST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/085,469	02/28/2002	Fred S. Cannon	823.0115USU	4846			
7590 08/09/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER			
POWELL, GOLDSTEIN, FRAZER & MURPHY LLP			HENDRICKSO	HENDRICKSON, STUART L			
Jason A Bernste	ein						
191 Peachtree Street NE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
16th Floor			1754				

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•			A.s.			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)		70			
Office Action Summary		10/085,469		CANNON ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Stuart Hendricks		1754					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.  or Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period irre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how you within the statutory min will apply and will expire a, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) day: SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1)  🂢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 J</u>	une 2005							
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	<u> </u>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)[🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-8,30 and 36-40</u> is/are pending in th	e application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,30 and 36-40</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) obj	jected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if th	e drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the	attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been rece	eived.		·				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau			ed in this National	Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified co	pies not receive	d.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Page 1	te atent Application (PTO	<b>-</b> 152\				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		Other:		,				

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, 30, 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Murakami et al 6865068.

The reference teaches active carbon, see table 1. The exact distribution and pH are unknown, but some examples (6 and 7) are highly consistent with what is claimed (large mesopore to micropore ratio). Note also the steam activation. Where the examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to establish that their product is patentably distinct not the examiner to show that the same process of making, see In re Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q 685, and In re Fessmann, 180 U.S.P.Q. 324.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754